

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/520,580 | 03/08/2000 | ANDREW E FANO | AND1P529 | 1890 |
| 29838 | 7590 08/06/2003 | | | |
| OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP (ACCENTURE) PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300 45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | VU, THANH T | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609 | | · | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2174 | /5 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/520,580 | FANO ET AL. | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Thanh T. Vu | 2174 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | • | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the infection of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o | pelow); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | etion(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 9, and 18. | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-17 and 19</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Vistine Vincaid | | | |
| | SUPE | KRISTINE KINCAID RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/520,580

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New Issues: "a mehod for providing a user interface to enable a user to make finacial decisions by negotiating tradeoffs between personal financial goals, comprising: displaying a plurality of images in a frame, each of the images representing a goal, wherein each goal includes at least one planned user expenditure; and displaying a plurality of preferences related to the goal represented by the selected image" have not been presented before.

 $\hat{}$